

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/035,246 | 01/04/2002 | Raymond C. Hoffeld | 6219.780 | 2937 |
| Joseph W. Berenato, III Liniak. Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GREIMEL, JOCELYN | |
| 6650 Rock Spring Drive, Ste. 240 Bethesda, MD 20817 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Domouda, 1415 | | | 3693 | |
| | · | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 02/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/035,246 | HOFFELD, RA | HOFFELD, RAYMOND C. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Jocelyn Greimel | 3693 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic | ation appears on the cover | sheet with the correspondence | e address | | | |
| Period for Reply | · . | | / (00) DAVO | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set o | ILING DATE OF THIS CC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen ication. tory period will apply and will expire ll by statute cause the application to | DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | his communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 04 December 2002. | | | | | |
| | o)⊠ This action is non-fin | al. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap | plication. | . • | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict | on and/or election require | ment. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | ion to the drawing(s) be held | in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(| a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| 11)[_] The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. Note the | attached Office Action of for | 11 F 10-132. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | 1 | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) | | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) [| Notice of Informal Patent Application |) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/035,246

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's application filed 04 January 2002, which claims the benefit of 60/259,867 filed 08 January 2001. Claims 1-20 are pending and are presented to be examined upon their merits. Claims 1, 12 and 20 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 10, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedy (US Patent Pub. 2003/0041031 A1). In reference to claims 1, 12 and 20, Hedy discloses a method, system and apparatus for computer access of information including:
 - a. storing predetermined information about a first entity on a storage device of a host computer, wherein access to the storage device is decisively limited (0009-0010);

Application/Control Number: 10/035,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 3693

b. creating via a host entity a general identification specific to the first entity, and through the host computer linking the predetermined information to the general information of the first entity (0009-0010);

- c. creating via the first entity a specific identification permitting access to the predetermined information stored on the storage device (0009-0010); and
- d. informing via the first identity a second entity of the general and specific identification, and therefore permitting the second entity to access the storage device and review the predetermined information (0009-0010).
- 4. In reference to claims 2, 6 and 10 and their related claims, Hedy discloses a method, system and apparatus for computer access of information wherein:
 - e. Including the step of storing credit information as the predetermined information (0011);
 - f. including the step of permitting access to the storage device via a website of the host entity (0009-0016);
 - g. including the step of storing the specific identification on the storage device of the host entity (0009-0011).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/035,246

Art Unit: 3693

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 3-5, 7-9, 11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedy in view of Official Notice. Hedy discloses a method, system and apparatus for permitting computer access to information as described above. However, Hedy does not disclose:
 - h. including the step of permitting the first entity to assign or change the specific identification.
 - i. Including the step of storing credit information of a predetermined duration;
 - j. Including the step of storing credit information created within the previous five years;
 - k. including the step of storing information extracted from said credit information;

Application/Control Number: 10/035,246

Art Unit: 3693

I. including the step of creating the general identification specific to the first entity from the group consisting of alphanumeric sequences, numeric sequences,

and combinations thereof;

m. including the step of creating the specific identification via the first entity from the group consisting of alphanumeric sequences, numeric sequences, and

combinations thereof;

n. including the step of limiting the general and specific identification to no

more than ten digits.

more user-friendly.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the above elements (h-n), including password variation and credit information timeframe variations, are well-known and obvious in credit information access as described in Hedy and in general computer technology. Hedy details using a password protected information system. Additionally, Hedy teaches credit information access and which can be sorted by timelines or timeframes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have used the variations of the passwords and the variation in the credit information in the information access system of Hedy to make the program

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jocelyn Greimel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3734. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/035,246

Art Unit: 3693

EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Kramer can be reached at (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jocelyn Greimel Examiner, Art Unit 3693

February 16, 2007

JAMES A KRAMER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600